

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLORADO

JERALD BOYKIN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.; EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC; TRANS UNION, LLC; CREDIT PLUS, LLC.; and BBVA USA BANCSHARES, INC.

Defendants.

Civil	Action	No.:
\sim 1 V 11		

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff Jerald Boykin ("Plaintiff"), through counsel, brings this action against defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian"), Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax"), Trans Union, LLC ("TransUnion"), and Credit Plus, Inc. ("CreditPlus") (collectively, "CRA Defendants"), and defendant BBVA USA Bancshares, Inc. ("BBVA") (BBVA and CRA Defendants collectively, "Defendants") and alleges based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the investigation of counsel, and information and belief, as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint arises from Defendants' violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seg. Plaintiff contends that CRA Defendants failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of Plaintiff's consumer reports. "Consumer reports" under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d) include both credit file disclosures obtained directly by Plaintiff from the consumer reporting agencies and consumer reports obtained by third parties as a factor in establishing Plaintiff's eligibility for credit.





- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint also alleges that CRA Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq. by failing to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's consumer disputes, which each resulted in Defendants' reporting inaccurate information about Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff's Complaint also alleges that BBVA violated 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq. by failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation after receiving notice of Plaintiff's disputes from Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The claims asserted in this complaint arise under 15 U.S.C. §§1681e, 1681i, and 1681s-2(b) of the FCRA. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §1681p.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff resides in Denver, Colorado, and qualifies as a "consumer" as that term is defined under 15 U.S.C. §1681a(c). Plaintiff is an individual.
- 7. Defendant Experian regularly compiles and distributes consumer credit information in exchange for compensation. Therefore, Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Experian is an Ohio corporation that regularly conducts business in this judicial district. Experian maintains a principal place of business at 475 Anton Boulevard, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.
- 8. Defendant TransUnion regularly compiles and distributes consumer credit information in exchange for monetary compensation. Therefore, TransUnion is a "consumer





reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). TransUnion is a Delaware corporation that regularly conducts business in this iudicial district. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, Prentice Hall Corporation, 801 Adlai Stevenson Drive, Springfield, IL 62703.

- Defendant Equifax regularly compiles and 9. distributes consumer credit information in exchange for compensation. Therefore, Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Equifax is a Georgia corporation that regularly conducts business in this judicial district. Equifax maintains a principal place of business at 1550 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, Corporation Service Company, 2 Sun Court, Suite 400, Peachtree Corners, GA, 30092.
- 10. Defendant CreditPlus, LLC regularly compiles and distributes consumer credit information in exchange for compensation. Therefore, CreditPlus is a "consumer reporting agency" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). Credit Plus is also a "reseller" as defined at 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(u) because it resells and furnishes credit information compiled and assembled from other agencies. CreditPlus consumer reporting is Maryland Corporation that regularly conducts business in this jurisdiction. Credit Plus maintains a principal place of business at 31550 Winterplace Parkway, Salisbury, MD 21804. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 7 St. Paul Street, Suite 820, Baltimore MD 21202.
- 11. Defendant BBVA has been recently purchased by, and become a subsidiary of, PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. BBVA regularly provides consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies. Therefore, BBVA is "furnisher" of consumer credit information as





that term is contemplated by 15 U.S.C § 1681s-2. BBVA is a foreign corporation that regularly conducts business in this judicial district. BBVA maintains a principal place of business at 350 Case 1:21-cv-02894 Document 1 Filed 10/28/21 USDC Colorado Page 3 of 25 4 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201. Defendant can be served through its registered agent, CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900 Dallas, Tx 75201.

- 12. During all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants were authorized to conduct business in the State of Colorado and conducted business in the State of Colorado on a routine and systematic basis.
- 13. During all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants acted through authorized agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and/or insurers.
- 14. Any violations by Defendants were not in good faith, were knowing, negligent, willful, and/or intentional, and Defendants did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 16. The United States Congress has found that the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate consumer reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continual functioning of the banking system.





- 17. Congress enacted the FCRA to ensure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy.
- 18. The FCRA seeks to ensure consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy because Case 1:21-cv-02894 Document 1 Filed 10/28/21 USDC Colorado Page 4 of 25 5 consumer reporting agencies have assumed such a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other consumer information.
- 19. CRA Defendants, aside from CreditPlus, are the three major consumer reporting agencies, or credit bureaus, in the United States that regularly publish and distribute credit information about Plaintiff and other consumers through the sale of consumer reports (also known as credit reports).
- 20. The CRA Defendants' consumer reports generally contain the following information: (i) Header/Identifying Information: this section generally includes the consumer's name, current and prior addresses, date of birth, and phone numbers; (ii) Tradeline Information: this section pertains to consumer credit history, and includes the type of credit account, credit limit or loan amount, account balance, payment history, and status; (iii) Public Record Information: this section typically includes public record information, such as bankruptcy filings; and (iv) Credit Inquiries: this section lists every entity that has accessed the consumer's file through a "hard inquiry" (i.e., consumer-initiated activities, such as applications for credit cards, to rent an apartment, to open a deposit account, or for other services) or "soft inquiry" (i.e., user-initiated inquiries like prescreening).



- 21. The CRA Defendants obtain consumer information from various sources. Some consumer information is sent directly to CRA Defendants by furnishers, and other information independently gathered by CRA Defendants from third party providers/vendors or repositories, like computerized reporting services like PACER and Lexis-Nexis.
- 22. CRA Defendants regularly seek out and procure public record information, including consumer bankruptcy filing and discharge information, with the intention of including this bankruptcy information on the consumer reports they sell to third parties such as lenders.
- 23. The diligence CRA Defendants exercise in uncovering and recording consumer bankruptcy filings is not replicated in their subsequent reporting of bankruptcy discharges and their effect on consumers' debts.
- 24. CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies, procedures and/or algorithms consistently fail to identify and update information related to bankruptcy debts as required by §1681(e)(b).
- 25. CRA Defendants know the information they report about consumers' bankruptcies is often inconsistent with public records, furnished/reported information, and/or information contained in their own files.
- 26. The vast majority of institutions that offer financial services (e.g., banks, creditors, lenders) rely upon consumer reports from credit bureaus (like CRA Defendants) to make lending decisions.
- 27. Those institutions also use FICO Scores, and other proprietary third-party algorithms (or "scoring" models), including debt-toincome ratios, to interpret the information in





a consumer's consumer report, which is based on the amount of reported debt, payment history, date of delinquencies contained in Defendant's consumer reports.

- 28. The information CRA Defendants include in a consumer report contributes to a consumer's overall creditworthiness and determines their FICO Score(s).
- 29. FICO and other third-party algorithms use variables or "attributes" derived from a consumer's consumer report to calculate a "credit score," which is a direct reflection of a consumer's creditworthiness.
- FICO Scores factor the following consumer 30. information: Payment history (35%); Amount of debt (30%); Length of credit history (15%); New credit (10%); and Credit mix (10%).
- 31. FICO's "Payment history" refers to whether a consumer has paid his or her bills in the past, and whether these payments have been timely, late, or missed. In factoring the severity of delinquent payments, a FICO Score considers how late the payment continues to be, how much is owed, how recently the delinquency occurred, and how many delinquent accounts exist. The more severe, recent, and frequent late payments are, the lower a consumer's FICO Score will be.
- 32. Defendants know that a consumer report indicating an account delinquency as having occurred more recently will more negatively impact a consumer's credit score and/or FICO Score.
- 33. Rather than follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy, as required by the FCRA, CRA Defendants frequently report information regarding post bankruptcy debts based on incomplete or knowingly inaccurate information.





- 34. CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to routinely report inaccurate and materially misleading information about consumers, including Plaintiff, related to whether an account has been discharged bankruptcy.
- 35. CRA Defendants routinely report inaccurate and materially misleading information about consumers like Plaintiff, without verifying or updating it as required by § 1681(e)(b), despite Case 1:21-cv-02894 Document 1 Filed 10/28/21 USDC Colorado Page 7 of 25 8 possessing information inconsistent with the reported information, and information that establishes that the reported information is inaccurate.
- 36. CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to regularly report consumer information without verifying its accuracy.
- 37. CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies, procedures and/or algorithms consistently fail to accurately identify bankruptcy debts as required by \$1681(e)(b).
- 38. CRA Defendants know the information they report about consumers' bankruptcies is often inconsistent with public records, furnished/reported information, and/or information contained in their own files.
- 39. CRA Defendants regularly publish consumer information that conflict with information that has been provided by data furnishers, information that is already included Defendants' own credit files, information contained in public CRA Defendants that regularly access, information sourced through CRA Defendants' independent and voluntary efforts.





40. CRA Defendants are on continued notice of their inadequate post-bankruptcy reporting procedures, including pertaining to inaccurate account and payment statuses and failing to verify the status of accounts even after notice from the consumer, through the thousands of lawsuits and FTC and Consumer Financial Protection Bureau complaints filed against them for their inaccurate reporting following a consumer bankruptcy.

Allegations Specific to the Credit Reporting of Plaintiff

- 41. Plaintiff filed a Chapter 13 Bankruptcy on or about July 3, 2019, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Colorado (Case No. 1:19-bk-15783).
- 42. Plaintiff Jerald Boykin and his spouse, Theresa (the "Boykins"), are senior citizens and long-time residents of Aurora, in Denver, Colorado.
- 43. The Boykins have been dreaming about living in a home of their own for many years. Aside from the usual joy in finally purchasing a home, the Boykins were timebound to find a place of residence before their tenancy would expire; the Boykins' landlord was selling the property and the Boykins were forced to secure another place of residence.
- 44. The Boykins are still in the midst of bankruptcy proceedings, and Plaintiff's debts have not yet been discharged.
- 45. The Boykins were determined to rehabilitate their credit and overcome the negative bankruptcy.
- 46. Soon after, upon advice of a friend, Plaintiff procured the services of Oakwood Homes' homebuying assistance program. Oakwood Homes is a Berkshire Hathaway company.
- 47. An Oakwood Homes counselor advised the Boykins that, typically, home-buying opportunities would increase 9/31





approximately a year after a Chapter 13 bankruptcy was filed. The counselor further advised on how to facilitate and maintain an adequate credit score to qualify for mortgage opportunities.

- 48. Pursuant to the counselor's suggestion, Plaintiff opened a BBVA credit account on or about May 11, 2020.
- 49. The Boykins carefully monitored, guarded, and nurtured Plaintiff's credit score so that they could soon qualify for a mortgage and finally purchase their own home.
- 50. Plaintiff has made timely and monthly payments on the BBVA account, and Plaintiff continues to make such timely and monthly payments to this day, in order to improve his credit score.
- 51. As advised, approximately a year after the bankruptcy and after the BBVA account had matured, in or around August 2020, the Boykins began seriously searching for a suitable home. After a months' long and arduous search, the Boykins focused in on a particular home being constructed by Oakwood Homes. The home was still being constructed, but was centrally located for their needs, was in a seniors' community, was in their familiar Aurora, Colorado, and was also affordable.
- 52. On March 24, 2021, the Boykins' mortgage application (jointly with two additional family members) was pre-approved by Nest Home Lending, LLC ("Nest").
- 53. Five days later, the Boykins entered contract for their dream home. The contract conditioned home-buying on securing a mortgage, and the Boykins were confident that their mortgage was a done-deal. The Boykins paid a \$6,000 deposit and anticipated the fast-approaching move. The Boykins were scheduled to close on their new home in or around August 2021, by which time construction on their home was to be completed.





Defendants' Violations Interfere to Deprive Plaintiff of a Home

- 54. However, at the worst possible moment, Defendants' violations intervened to scuttle the deal and obliterate the Boykins' dream.
- 55. On or about May 1, 2021, Plaintiff received an alert from PrivacyGuard, a credit tracking service, that his credit score was reduced.
- 56. The Boykins' were gravely concerned. A credit score reduction could potentially render the Boykins ineligible for the mortgage and lead to termination of the contract. After all, Nest, as any mortgage lender, would check the applicants' credit before granting the mortgage loan.
- 57. Upon a check of his credit accounts, Plaintiff noticed that the BBVA account was reporting as "closed."
- 58. Immediately, on the same day of May 1, 2021, Plaintiff telephoned BBVA and disputed its inaccurate reporting and urged it to fix its reporting because the account was open, not closed.
- 59. On the phone, BBVA explained that it was not aware that the account had been marked as "closed," but agreed to open a "ticket" and investigate.
- 60. Just a few days after Plaintiff disputed the reporting of the BBVA account, Plaintiff noticed that the BBVA account reporting had changed; however, instead of the reporting being corrected, the BBVA account was reporting that the account had been discharged in bankruptcy.
- 61. Specifically, Equifax, Experian, TransUnion, and CreditPlus were each inaccurately reporting the BBVA account as having been included in bankruptcy. The Boykins' concern turned to alarm. 11/31





Plaintiff's further disputes with BBVA and Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian

- 62. On or about May 26, 2021, Plaintiff contacted BBVA to dispute its reporting and request that it no longer inaccurately report its account as in bankruptcy.
- 63. Plaintiff also emailed Clarice Vick ("Clarice") a Client Care Analyst at BBVA. In the email, disputed BBVA's reporting and requested that it no longer inaccurately report its Case 1:21cv-02894 Document 1 Filed 10/28/21 USDC Colorado Page 11 of 25 12 account as included in bankruptcy. Plaintiff also provided an original Experian consumer report demonstrating the inaccurate BBVA reporting.
- 64. Clarice assured Plaintiff that she would look into the error and if it was something BBVA had done, she would fix the inaccurate reporting.
- 65. On or about June 1, 2021, Clarice notified Plaintiff that she had requested that CRA Defendants correct the reporting.
- 66. BBVA also responded by letter dated June 1, 2021. In the letter, BBVA apologized for "any inconvenience this may have caused" and represented that it had "made an electronic request to Equifax, Experian, and TransUnion," and these credit bureaus should report the account as "CURRENT STATUS."
- 67. On or about June 10, 2021, Plaintiff received an alert from PrivacyGuard, a credit tracking service, that BBVA had again reported Plaintiff's account as included in bankruptcy.
- 68. On the same date, Plaintiff emailed Garret Tillotson ("Garret") a Financial Solutions Consultant at BBVA. In the email, Plaintiff explained that BBVA's inaccurate reporting has the potential to severely harm Plaintiff and requested that BBVA immediately





correct its erroneous reporting.

- 69. Garret is Plaintiff's local banker, and had helped Plaintiff open the BBVA originally.
- 70. In an emailed response on the same date, Garret assured Plaintiff that he had "submitted a ticket to resolve the issue," and offered to provide Plaintiff's mortgage broker a "letter of explanation . . . stating that the account/card is not a part of the 2019 chapter 13 filing, and is/has been in good standing since being opened in 2020."
- 71. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's credit score had dropped approximately 40 to 60 points due to BBVA and CRA Defendants' inaccurate reporting.
- 72. Although doubtful as to its efficacy, Plaintiff graciously accepted Garret's offer and awaited the promised "letter of explanation."
- 73. Plaintiff has yet to receive such a letter.
- 74. BBVA responded to Plaintiff by letter dated June 15, 2021. In the letter, BBVA apologized for "any inconvenience this has caused you" and represented that "an electronic request has been submitted to Equifax, Experian, TransUnion, and Innovis," that such credit bureaus should report the account as "current with no payment delinquencies," and that the "consumer information indicator field should no longer reflect Petition for Ch 13 Bankruptcy."
- 75. Reporting the BBVA account as included in bankruptcy is inaccurate because the BBVA account was not closed, nor discharged in bankruptcy.





- 76. The BBVA account was opened nearly a year after Plaintiff filed for bankruptcy, and Plaintiff has consistently made timely monthly payments.
- 77. Plaintiff continues to make timely monthly payments on his BBVA account.
- 78. Notably, Plaintiff's bankruptcy has yet to be discharged. Accordingly, the BBVA account could not have been discharged in bankruptcy.
- 79. Having had no success with BBVA, Plaintiff turned to the credit bureaus directly.
- 80. On or about June 14, 2021, Plaintiff submitted written dispute letters to TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian disputing their inaccurate reporting of the BBVA account.
- 81. The letters specifically advised that the BBVA account was open, current, and not included in bankruptcy.
- 82. Plaintiff further explained that he was in the process of obtaining a mortgage, and that the inaccuracy was harming his credit score and ability to obtain such mortgage.
- 83. Plaintiff requested that each credit bureau remove the inaccurate information.
- 84. Upon information and belief, TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian each received Plaintiff's dispute letters.
- 85. Upon information and belief, TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian each forwarded Plaintiff's disputes to BBVA within five business days of receiving Plaintiff's dispute, as required by federal statute.





86. Upon information and belief, BBVA received Plaintiff's dispute from each of TransUnion, Experian, and Equifax.

Defendants' Continuing Violations and Ongoing Harm

- 87. As of August 17, 2021, Experian's consumer report was still reporting the BBVA account with an account status of "closed," but had changed the payment status to indicate: "Debt included in or discharged through Bankruptcy Chapter 13."
- 88. In the "Payment history" grid, Experian included a "BK" notation in field belonging to the month of May 2021.
- 89. Experian defines "BK" as having the meaning "Chapter 13 bankruptcy" in its consumer report.
- 90. As of August 27, 2021, TransUnion's consumer report listed the BBVA account under the consumer report section entitled "Account with Adverse Information."
- 91. Further, while TransUnion is reporting the BBVA account with a "pay status" of "Current Account," it also, confusingly, indicates in the "Remarks" field "CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY."
- 92. TransUnion's consumer report also failed to indicate any balance in the "balance" field.
- 93. Finally, although the TransUnion consumer report was accessed on, and dated, August 27, 2021, the BBVA account payment history grid indicated timely payments only until and including the month of May 2021. No further months are indicated.
- 94. CreditPlus also is inaccurately reporting the BBVA account as having been included in bankruptcy.





- 95. As of June 2021, CreditPlus's consumer report reported the BBVA account status as "BANKRUPTCY."
- 96. A CreditPlus comment on the account contains the following "WAGE EARNER PLAN ACCOUNT remark: (CHAPTER SECURED."
- 97. CRA Defendants each reported Plaintiff's bankruptcy filing (date/court/chapter) in both the Public Records section, as well as in some individual tradelines of Plaintiff's consumer reports.
- 98. CRA Defendants obtained notice of Plaintiff's bankruptcy through their routine, independent collection of consumer information from third party vendors such as Lexis-Nexis, as well as from furnishers that provide data regarding the individual tradelines reported by CRA Defendants in Plaintiff's consumer reports.
- 99. Rather than accurately report the BBVA account as open and current, CRA Defendants inaccurately reported Plaintiff's BBVA account as included in bankruptcy.
- 100. Since the account was clearly indicated to all of the credit reporting agencies that it was opened long after the Chapter 13 bankruptcy had been filed, each of CRA Defendants knew that the BBVA account could not be included in the bankruptcy plan.
- 101. Each of CRA Defendants also received direct information from BBVA that the account was in good standing.
- 102. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's credit score had dropped approximately 40 to 60 points due to BBVA and CRA Defendants' inaccurate reporting.
- 103. At the time of the Boykins' mortgage pre-approval, Plaintiff's credit score was the highest of the four joint applicants.





However, after Defendants' violations, Plaintiff's credit score was now the lowest of the four.

104. Plaintiff was informed that no longer qualified for Nest's mortgage. Plaintiff searched for other mortgages, but was unsuccessful due to his reduced credit score.

105. 113. On or about July 7, 2021, Plaintiff applied to American Financing Corporation ("American") for a mortgage loan. American, through Partners Credit & Verification Solutions, procured Plaintiff's Equifax and TransUnion consumer reports.

106. American denied Plaintiff's mortgage application because he lacked an adequate credit score.

107. On July 13, 2021, Oakwood Homes terminated the Boykins' home contract because the Boykins had failed to secure the requisite mortgage.

108. Plaintiff received Nest's Statement of Action Taken letter on or about August 12, 2021. The Nest letter advised that Plaintiff would not be granted a mortgage loan, and that the decision is based upon credit information from CreditPlus and TransUnion.

109. Since Nest's denial, the Boykins have searched for other homes, and even for home rentals, but were repeatedly denied due to Plaintiff's lower credit score.

110. On or about August 11, 2021, Plaintiff applied to Edge Home Finance Corporation ("Edge") for a mortgage loan. Edge, through Advantage Credit, Inc., procured Plaintiff's Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion consumer reports.

111. Edge then denied Plaintiff's mortgage application.





- 112. Nest, American, and Edge each denied Plaintiff's mortgage loan applications due to Defendants' inaccurate reporting of the BBVA account on their respective consumer reports.
- 113. To this day, the Boykins are still searching for a residence.
- 114. As iterated hereinabove, as of August 17, 2021, Experian has yet to correct its reporting and is still inaccurately reporting the BBVA account as it related to bankruptcy.
- 115. As iterated hereinabove, as of August 27, 2021, TransUnion has yet to correct its reporting and is still inaccurately reporting the BBVA account as it related to bankruptcy.
- 116. By contrast, as of August 13, 2021, Equifax has corrected its reporting and its accurately reporting the BBVA account.
- 117. Crucially, while Equifax has produced a consumer report that was accurately reporting the BBVA account as of on or about August 13, 2021, Equifax had been inaccurately reporting the account when Plaintiff needed it most: at the time he was attempting to obtain a mortgage loan while in contract for a home.
- 118. Equifax's failure to comply with its obligations under the FCRA caused Plaintiff harm.
- 119. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff remains concerned that the inaccurate BBVA account information will reappear on Plaintiff's Equifax consumer report.
- 120. Plaintiff does not have knowledge as to Equifax's current reporting of the BBVA account at the time of filing this complaint.
- 121. Upon information and belief, BBVA is still furnishing inaccurate BBVA account information to the credit bureaus, including CRA Defendants. 18/31





Further Damages

- 122. Upon information and belief, had Defendants accurately reported the BBVA account as open and current, Plaintiff's credit score would have been higher and sufficient to qualify for a mortgage loan.
- 123. As a direct result of the Defendants' inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff was no longer qualified for the mortgage loan for which he had been pre-approved.
- 124. As a direct result of the Defendants' inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff was no longer qualified for any mortgage loan that could have saved the home contract from termination.
- 125. Plaintiff was denied the mortgage loan because his credit score was below the qualifying threshold.
- 126. Plaintiff was informed that his mortgage loan application would have been approved had his credit score been slightly higher.
- 127. Plaintiff shopped around for additional mortgage loans to salvage his home contract, but did not qualify because his credit score was too low.
- 128. As a direct result of the Defendants' inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff was forced to forego the purchase of a home for which he had entered contract.
- 129. Upon information and belief, recent dramatic rises in home prices in the Denver, CO, housing market has rendered the unaffordable the contracted-for home purchase of and impracticable for the Plaintiff.





- 130. Upon information and belief, to purchase the contracted-for home would now require an additional \$50,000.00.
- 131. Upon information and belief, recent dramatic rises in home prices in the Denver, CO, housing market has rendered the purchase of other homes unaffordable and impracticable for Plaintiff.
- 132. The Boykins were on the cusp of fulfilling a long-held dream of purchasing a living in a home of their own; their dream was suddenly made possible by virtue of a confluence of factors, such as government stimulus funds, new home construction, and Plaintiff's bankruptcy and subsequent credit-rehabilitation, among others, to culminate in the Boykins' entering contract on an affordable and suitable home.
- 133. Defendants' actions have deprived the Boykins of that dream.
- 134. On or about October 15, 2021, Plaintiff was notified by his landlord that, because the landlord intends to sell the property where Plaintiff currently resides, Plaintiff had 45 days by which time he must vacate the property.
- 135. As a direct result of the Defendants' inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff suffered and suffers damages, including a decreased credit score, lower overall creditworthiness, and other financial harm.
- 136. Additionally, Plaintiff suffers interference with daily activities, as well as emotional distress, including, without limitation, emotional and mental anguish, humiliation, stress, anger, sleepless nights, reputational damage, frustration, embarrassment, violation of Plaintiff's right to privacy, and anxiety.





CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNTI

Against CRA Defendants for Violating 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b)

137. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing allegations if set forth in full herein.

138. The FCRA imposes a duty on credit reporting agencies to devise and implement procedures to assure the "maximum possible accuracy" of credit reports, as follows:

Whenever a consumer reporting agency prepares a consumer report, it shall follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates.

15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) (emphasis added).

139. CRA Defendants each failed to follow reasonable procedures to ensure maximum possible accuracy of the information reported on Plaintiff's consumer reports.

140. CRA Defendants each violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b) by failing to establish and/or follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of Plaintiff's consumer reports and credit files it published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.

141. As a result of each of CRA Defendants' statutory violations, Plaintiff suffered actual damages as described hereinabove.

142. Each of CRA Defendants' violations were willful, rendering each of CRA Defendants individually liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n. 21/31





143. In the alternative, each of CRA Defendants were negligent, which entitles the Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

144. Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorney's fees from CRA Defendants in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o.

COUNT II

Against Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian for Violating 15 U.S.C. §1681i

145. Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(1) by failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information was accurate and record the current status of the disputed information or delete the item from Plaintiff's consumer report and credit file.

146. As more fully alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff repeatedly communicated to each of Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian that each was inaccurately reporting the BBVA account, and repeatedly explained why and how such reporting was inaccurate.

147. Nevertheless, Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each continued, and continue, to report and publish the inaccurate credit information.

148. Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(2)(A) by failing to provide BBVA with all of the relevant information regarding Plaintiff and his dispute.





149. Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(5)(A) by failing to promptly delete the disputed inaccurate information from Plaintiff's credit file or correct the inaccurate information upon reinvestigation.

150. Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each violated 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(6)(A)-(B) by failing to provide to Plaintiff "written notice ... of the results of a reinvestigation," a consumer report revised pursuant to the results of the reinvestigation, and other requisite notifications required by 15 U.S.C. §1681i(a)(6)(A)-(B).

151. As a result of each of Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian' respective statutory violations, Plaintiff suffered actual damages as described hereinabove.

152. Each of Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian respective violations were willful, rendering Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian each individually liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n.

153. In the alternative, each of Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian was negligent, which entitles the Plaintiff to recovery under 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

154. Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorney's fees from each of Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o.

COUNT III

Against BBVA for Violating 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)

155. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the foregoing allegations if set forth in full herein.





156. After a consumer submits a dispute to a consumer reporting agency, such consumer reporting agency is required to notify the furnisher of the disputed information of the dispute.

157. Therefore, each time Plaintiff submitted a dispute to any credit bureau, each credit bureau, in turn and as required by federal statute, notified BBVA of Plaintiff's dispute.

158. Upon receiving notice of a dispute from a credit reporting agency, furnishers are required to conduct an investigation and correct the misleading information as necessary, as follows:

After receiving notice pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2) of a dispute with regard to the completeness or accuracy of any information provided by a person to a consumer reporting agency, the person shall -

- (A) conduct investigation with respect to disputed an information;
- (B) review all relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agency pursuant to § 1681i(a)(2) of this title;
- (C) report the results of the investigation to the consumer reporting agency; [and]
- (D) if the investigation finds that the information is incomplete or inaccurate, report those results to all other consumer reporting agencies to which the person furnished the information...

15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b) (emphasis added).

159. BBVA received notice of each of Plaintiff's disputes to each credit bureau, including Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian, and still failed to comply with its obligations under the FCRA.





- 160. BBVA failed to conduct a timely and reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's dispute after receiving notice thereof from each credit bureau, including Equifax, TransUnion, and Experian.
- 161. BBVA willfully, intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently continued to report inaccurate information to credit bureaus, including CRA Defendants.
- 162. Instead of removing the inaccurate information, BBVA improperly verified that the reporting was accurate.
- 163. Worse still, even after BBVA admitted to Plaintiff that its reporting was inaccurate, BBVA willfully, intentionally, recklessly, and/or negligently continued to furnish inaccurate BBVA account information to the credit bureaus, including CRA Defendants, on at least four occasions, between the months of June and September of 2021.
- 164. Upon information and belief, BBVA is continuing to furnish such inaccurate information, despite repeatedly admitting to Plaintiff that such reporting was inaccurate.
- 165. As a result of BBVA's misconduct, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as described herein.
- 166. BBVA's misconduct was a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
- 167. As a result of BBVA's statutory violations, Plaintiff suffered statutory and actual damages as described herein and is entitled to recover statutory, actual, and punitive damages under 15 U.S.C. §1681n and §1681o.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.





PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgments against Defendants for the following:

- (a) Declaratory judgment that Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §1681e(b);
- (b) An award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§1681n(a) (1) or 1681o(a)(1);
- (c) An award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§1681n(a)(1) and1681o(a)(1);
- (d) An award of punitive damages, as allowed by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(2),
- (e) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) and §1681o(a)(2); and
- (f) Such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper, including any applicable pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and/or declaratory relief.







THE CONSUMER JUSTICE LAW FIRM

/s/ David A. Chami

DATED: October 28, 2021

DAVID A. CHAMI, Bar No. 027585 8245 N. 85th Way Scottsdale, AZ 85258 dchami@cjl.law Attorneys for Plaintiff







25 44 (Rev. 04/21)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of plendings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

1. (a) PLAINTIFFS	locket sheet (NEX DOTEC)	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF 1	DEFENDANTS	0		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff SEXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (INUS PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Alterneys (If Rissia)			
II. BASIS OF JURISD 1 US Government Finishiff 2 US Government Defoulage	1 Federal Question (U.S. Government) 4 Diversity		(For Diversity Cases Only) (Cincers of This State Citizens of Apother State	IF DEF	Taxi State Principal Place 5 5	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place as "X" in One Box O	elo)		Click here for: Nature of S	suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE PENALTY	Click here for Nature of Suit Code Descriptions BANKRUPICY OTHER STATUTES		
110 Intrases 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negoriable Instrument 140 Negoriable Instrument Act Endocument of Indigues 151 Medicate Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Stadent Looks (Escinder Version)	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 330 Astault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Manine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane J65 Personal Injury - Product Liability J67 Health Care 310 Astault, Libel & Slander 310 Federal Employers Product Lobility Product Liability J68 Aibestas Personal	625 Drug Related Seutran of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other	422 Appeal 28 USC 138 375 False Claims Act		
153 Becovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' State 160 Other Contract 196 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	350 Motor Vehicle 333 Motor Vehicle Product Labelity 380 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury		TABOR 710 Fair Labor Stunderth Act 720 Labor Management Relations 740 Relations 740 Relations 751 Family and Medical Leave Act	BIO Definal Trade Secrets Act of 2018 SOCIAL SECURITY BIO HIA (13918) SEE Black Ling (923) SEE DIWC DIWW (403(g)) BIO SSID Tride XVI	480 Commer Crefit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 485 Telephone Commer Protection Act 480 Cable Star IV 810 Sections Commodities Exchange \$500 Other Statistics Actions	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condensation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rese Lesse & Ejectranist 340 Torn to Land 245 Torn Product Lisbility 250 All Other Real Property	CIVII, RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing Accommodation 444 Aust w Doubdines Employment 446 Aust w Doubdines Cher 446 Education	PRISONER PETITIONS: Habeas Corpus: 405 Alims Detauce 510 Metours to Vacant feature: 530 General 535 Death Penalty Order: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Croll Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Croll Detauce Conditions of Confinement	790 Other Labor Lingston 791 Employee Retrement Bacome Security Act BIMBGRATION 462 Systembatton Application Actions	FIDERAL IAX SETIS FIDERAL IAX SETIS FID Trans (U.S. Planniff or Defendan) FIT IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7669	891 Agrandrand Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Feedom of Information Act 896 Arbertanen 899 Administrative Procedure Act Bevarr ox Agreed of Agreesy Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
			Regulated or 5 Transfe Reopened Another (specifi	Dutrict Litigation		
VL CAUSE OF ACTIO	-93		iling (Do not the furtidictional stat	nies anless diversity).	117655.72	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	15 A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R. Cv.P.	DEMAND S	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See trainscribers) JUDGEDOCKET NO					
DATE		SEGNATURE OF ATTOR	ENTEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECGIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFF	JUDGE	MAG RII	oge	





INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER **SHEET FORM JS 44**

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title. (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.) (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".





II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes. Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts. Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date. Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated





or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers. Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7. Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

